

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/037,539

Applicant(s)

PARKER ET AL.

Examiner

Katarzyna Wyrozebski

Art Unit

1714

All Participants:(1) Katarzyna Wyrozebski.(2) Mr Henry Young.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 November 2004**Time:** 1500**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

27, 28, 29 and 30

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative authorized examiner's amendment that would change the dependency of claims 27 and 28 back to 25 and 26 respectively. The amendment was authorized to remove improper language from claims 29 and 30, which amendment included removal of parentheses and language "such as", "for example", e.g., as well as changing period to comma in the middle of the claim 30..